Inpreima2

FL

Tuesday 5 February 2012

Theorem: The preimage of the intersection of a non-empty set A is the intersection of the preimage of the elements of A. Remark: I write F(x) when x is an element of the domain of F and $F\langle x \rangle$ when x is a part of the domain of F.

Assume: 1. $A \neq \emptyset$ 2. F is a function Prove: $F^{-1}\langle \bigcap A \rangle = \bigcap_{x \in A} F^{-1}\langle x \rangle$ Let: y be a set $\langle 1\rangle 1.$ Suffices: $y\in F^{-1}\langle\bigcap A\rangle$ iff $y\in\bigcap_{x\in A}F^{-1}\langle x\rangle$ By definition of equality (see eqriv). $\langle 1\rangle 2. \ y\in \bigcap_{x\in A}F^{-1}\langle x\rangle$ iff $\forall x\in A \ y\in F^{-1}\langle x\rangle$ By definition of an intersection (eliin). $\langle 1\rangle 3. \ y\in F^{-1}\langle \bigcap A\rangle$ iff $\forall x\in A \ y\in F^{-1}\langle x\rangle$ $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. If $y \in F^{-1} \langle \bigcap A \rangle$ then $\forall x \in A \ y \in F^{-1} \langle x \rangle$. $\langle 3 \rangle 1.$ Assume: $y \in F^{-1} \langle \bigcap A \rangle$ Prove: $\forall x \in A \ y \in F^{-1}\langle x \rangle$ $\langle 4 \rangle 1. \ F(y) \in \bigcap A$ By fvimacnv and assumption $\langle 3 \rangle 1$. $\langle 4 \rangle 2$. $\forall x \in A \ F(y) \in x$ by $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ and definition of an intersection (elint).

 $\langle 4 \rangle 3.$ Q.E.D. By fvimacnv and $\langle 4 \rangle 2$. $\langle 3 \rangle 2.$ Q.E.D. By deduction theorem. $\langle 2\rangle 2. \text{ If } \forall x \in A \; y \in F^{-1} \langle x \rangle \text{ then } y \in F^{-1} \langle \bigcap A \rangle.$ $\langle 3 \rangle 1$. Assume: $\forall x \in A \ y \in F^{-1} \langle x \rangle$ Prove: $y \in F^{-1} \langle \bigcap A \rangle$ $\langle 4 \rangle 1$. $\forall x \in A \ F(y) \in x$ (by assumption $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ and fvimacnvi.) $\langle 4
angle 2. \ F(y) \in igcap A$ (by $\langle 4
angle 1$ and definition of an intersection (elint2).) $\langle 4 \rangle 3.$ Q.E.D. By $\langle 4 \rangle 2$ and fvimacnv. $\langle 3 \rangle 2.$ Q.E.D. By deduction theorem. $\langle 2 \rangle 3.$ Q.E.D. By $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 2 \rangle 2$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4.$ Q.E.D.

By $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ and $\langle 1 \rangle 3$.

Theorem fvimacnvi: If F is a function and $A\in F^{-1}\langle B\rangle$ then $F(A)\in B\,.$

Theorem fvimacnv: If F is a function and A an element of its domain then $A \in F^{-1}\langle B \rangle$ iff $F(A) \in B$.

Theorem elint2: If A is a set, and B a class, $A \in \bigcap B$ iff $\forall x \in B \ A \in x$.

Simple propositional calculus tautologies may be used without being explicitely mentionned. The ''deduction'' theorem may be used without being explicitely mentionned.

The keyword ''suffices'' changes the goal. The goal is always mention at the upper level. When ''suffices'' is used this goal changes and the proof behind the ''suffices'' step ensures the new goal and the former one are equivalent. The other steps at the same level behind the ''suffices'' are used to prove the new goal. The ''Q.E.D'' at the end of the level refers to the new goal.

The system used is in the Gentzen style. Let's recall set.mm is in the Hilbert style.

Modified on 3 June 2013 and again 13 July 2016.